# 1 Associations between symptoms, donor characteristics and IgG antibody 2 response in 2082 COVID-19 convalescent plasma donors

- 3
- 4 Running title: donor characteristics and antibody levels
- 5
- 6 Marieke Vinkenoog<sup>1,4</sup>, Maurice Steenhuis<sup>2</sup>, Anja ten Brinke<sup>2</sup>, J.G. Coen van Hasselt<sup>3</sup>, Mart P. Janssen<sup>1,4</sup>,
- 7 Matthijs van Leeuwen<sup>4</sup>, Francis Swaneveld<sup>5</sup>, Hans Vrielink<sup>5</sup>, Leo van de Watering<sup>5</sup>, Franke Quee<sup>1</sup>, Katja
- 8 van den Hurk<sup>1</sup>, Theo Rispens<sup>2</sup>, Boris Hogema<sup>6</sup>, C. Ellen van der Schoot<sup>7,\*</sup>
- 9

## 10 Affiliations

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Donor Medicine Research, Sanquin Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 12 <sup>2</sup>Department of Immunopathology, Sanquin Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, and Landsteiner
- 13 Laboratory, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The
- 14 Netherlands.
- <sup>3</sup>Division of Systems Biomedicine and Pharmacology, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research,
   Leiden University, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- <sup>4</sup>Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Transfusion Medicine, Sanquin Blood Supply, 1066 CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Virology, Sanquin Diagnostic Services, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 20 <sup>7</sup>Department of Experimental Immunohematology, Sanquin Research and Landsteiner Laboratory
- 21 Amsterdam University Medical Centre, 1066 CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- 22
- 23

# 24 Corresponding author

- 25 C. Ellen van der Schoot; Department of Experimental Immunohematology, Sanquin Research and
- 26 Landsteiner Laboratory Amsterdam University Medical Centre, 1066 CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- 27 e.vanderschoot@sanquin.nl.
- 28
- 29 Complete text word count: 3437
- 30 Figures: 4
- 31 Tables: 3

# 32 Abstract (150 words)

33 Many studies already reported on the association between patient characteristics on the severity of 34 COVID-19 disease outcome, but the relation with SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels is less clear. To 35 investigate this in more detail, we performed a retrospective observational study in which we used 36 the IgG antibody response from 11,118 longitudinal antibody measurements of 2,082 unique COVID 37 convalescent plasma donors. COVID-19 symptoms and donor characteristics were obtained by a 38 questionnaire. Antibody responses were modelled using a linear mixed-effects model. Our study 39 confirms that the SARS-CoV-2 antibody response is associated with patient characteristics like body 40 mass index and age. Antibody decay was faster in male than in female donors (average half-life of 62 41 versus 72 days). Most interestingly, we also found that three symptoms (headache, anosmia, nasal 42 cold) were associated with lower peak IgG, while six other symptoms (dry cough, fatigue, diarrhoea, 43 fever, dyspnoea, muscle weakness) were associated with higher IgG concentrations.

44

#### 45 Keywords

46 Longitudinal; symptoms; antibodies; COVID-19; characteristics; CCP

## 47 Introduction

48 Severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged late 2019 in China, and by 49 March 2020 was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO). As of September 50 2021, over 200 million individuals have been infected with COVID-19, which has inflicted an immense 51 impact on the healthcare system worldwide. The virus mainly targets the respiratory tract, which can 52 lead from mild symptoms to severe respiratory distress syndrome. Studies have shown that antibody 53 responses against the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein can be first detected 1-3 weeks post symptom onset 54 (1, 2) in most COVID-19 patients and remain in circulation for up to 1 year (3-6). There is however a 55 substantial variation in antibody levels between individuals (5).

56 Many studies have reported on the association between disease severity and donor 57 characteristics, such as sex, body mass index (BMI), age, and blood group. Males tend to be more 58 susceptible to develop a severe course of the SARS-CoV-2 virus infection (7, 8). In addition, age above 59 50 and obesity are also associated with increased risk of severe outcome (9-12). ABO blood type may 60 also play a role in COVID-19 infection, but the exact influence remains unclear (13, 14).

61 Antibody responses also seem to be associated with symptoms and clinical information. In 62 general, SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels are higher in patients with a severe disease outcome (15). A 63 recent study in which COVID-19 convalescent plasma (CCP) donors were followed for three months 64 after symptom resolution showed that greater disease severity, older age, male sex, and high BMI 65 correlate with high SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels (7, 16). The same study also reported that particularly 66 the symptoms fever, body aches, and low appetite correlate with high SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels. 67 Limitations of this study include a small number of subjects and the low number of longitudinal data 68 points available for each subject, which restricts the possibilities to analyse trends in antibody levels 69 over time and the association with donor characteristics and symptoms.

Here, we aimed to gain a more detailed insight into individual symptoms and donor characteristics and their association with the IgG antibody response. Therefore, we analysed a longitudinal data set of 11,118 anti-RBD antibody measurements of 2,082 unique CCP donors. Interestingly, we found that three symptoms (headache, anosmia, nasal cold) were associated with lower peak IgG, while six other symptoms (dry cough, fatigue, diarrhoea, fever, dyspnoea, muscle weakness) were associated with higher IgG concentrations.

# 76 Materials and Methods

77

## 78 Study population samples

79 Between April 2020 and March 2021, Sanquin Blood Bank (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) collected 80 samples from over 24,000 COVID-19 recovered adults who enrolled in the CCP programme. Within 81 this programme plasma is derived from patients that recovered from COVID-19, with the aim to help 82 patients recover from COVID-19. Donation was voluntary and non-remunerated, and donors provided 83 written informed consent before their first donation. Donors were included based on either a positive 84 PCR or presence of anti-RBD IgG antibodies above 80 Arbitrary Units per ml (AU/ml) and after being 85 free of symptoms for at least two weeks. Donors donated plasma on average every two weeks, until 86 antibody levels were below 4 AU/ml in two consecutive donations. Only donors with at least three 87 consecutive antibody measurements and a complete questionnaire were included in the analyses, 88 resulting in a study population of 2,082 donors (Supplemental Figure 1).

## 89 Questionnaire

90 Starting August 2020, donors that enrolled in the convalescent plasma programme were invited by e-

- 91 mail to fill out an online questionnaire, programmed in Qualtrics (SAP, Walldorf, Germany). The 92 questionnaire included questions about the possible origin of the infection, the reason why donors
- 93 were tested and a list of 18 symptoms considered to be COVID-19-related according guidelines

- 94 specified by the Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (17). Participants could 95 indicate if they experienced symptoms and, if the symptoms were present, how severe these 96 symptoms were on a 4-point scale, from 1 (very mild) to 4 (severe). Additionally, participants were 97 asked about the duration of their symptoms, whether they consulted a physician or were admitted to 98 hospital and/or intensive care units. The full questionnaire is included as an online supplement.
- 99 Donors were excluded from analysis if sex, age and/or date of illness was absent.

#### 100 Antibody measurements

lgG to RBD was measured essentially as described before (5, 6). In brief, plates were coated with
 recombinant RBD, incubated with samples, and bound IgG antibodies were detected using an anti human IgG antibody (MH16, Sanquin); quantification was done relative to a plasma pool consisting of
 CCP donors and expressed as AU/mL.

#### 105 Statistical model

Longitudinal trends in antibody levels were analysed with a linear mixed-effects model, using logtransformed anti-RBD IgG levels as outcome variable. Timepoint 0 corresponds to 20 days post onset of symptoms (1) (1). As such, the estimated intercept of the model corresponds to a donor's estimated peak IgG level (18). The estimated slope of the model is used to calculate a donor's IgG half-life, in days:

111 
$$t_{1/2} = \log\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)/slope.$$

112 Only measurements within six months post onset of symptoms (1) were included, as in later stages of 113 recovery antibody decline is expected to slow down and no longer expected to follow a loglinear 114 decline (5).

115 A three-step approach was used to analyse the effects of the covariates. In the first step, a 116 null-model was fit to the data, using time as the only predictor variable and allowing a random 117 intercept and slope to be estimated for each donor. In the second step, we tried to explain the variance 118 in random intercepts and slopes by including fixed effects for donor characteristics, i.e. sex, age, 119 height, weight, BMI, and blood group (ABO and RhD), in addition to the random intercept and slope 120 per donor. In the third step, fixed effects that were statistically insignificant in the second step were 121 removed and additional donor information variables obtained from the questionnaires were added as 122 fixed effects (Table S1 and S2). This information concerned data on hospitalization, ICU admission, co-123 morbidities, and the presence of 18 symptoms as shown in Table 1. This approach allowed separate 124 estimation of the proportion of variance explained by donor characteristics and clinical information.

Significance levels of individual variables were estimated using Satterthwaite's approximation (19), as degrees of freedom cannot be calculated exactly in models that include both random and fixed effects. Because this approximation is slightly anti-conservative, an alpha-level of 0.01 was chosen to determine statistical significance. Non-significant predictors were excluded after each step. Relative quality, taking into account both goodness of fit and model complexity, of the models was assessed by comparing the Akaike information criteria (AIC) after each step.

Data were processed and analysed with the *R* programming language and environment for statistical computing (version 4.0.3), using packages *lme4* and *lmerTest* for analyses and *ggplot2* for generating graphs.

## 135 Results

## 136 Study population characteristics

We used 11,118 antibody measurements of 2,082 unique donors to study the associations between symptoms, donor characteristics, and IgG antibody response. The number of available antibody measurements per donor ranged from 3 to 18 measurements. In addition, each donor completed a questionnaire, which gave insight into symptoms and donor characteristics. Table 1 shows the distributions of donor and COVID-19 related disease characteristics in the study population.

Compared to all active whole-blood and plasma donors in 2020, donors in our study population are slightly older (46 vs 42 years for women, 52 vs 48 years for men). Median weight and height, as well as proportion of female donors and rhesus D blood group are similar to those of the active donor population. Blood group A is overrepresented in our study population (47% vs 39% for women, 45% vs 39% for men), while blood group O is underrepresented (39% vs 47% for women, 42% vs 47% for men).

148

#### 149 Null-model fit (step 1)

150 In the first step we estimated an intercept and slope for each individual donor using the null model, 151 describing the linear relationship between log-transformed IgG levels and time post onset of 152 symptoms (1). The residuals, i.e. the difference between measured IgG and predicted IgG as estimated 153 by the null model, follow a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation of 0.21 log 154 (AU/ml). This distribution is independent of time post onset symptoms, supporting the assumption that the relationship is linear after log-transformation. Given this assumption, the estimated peak IgG 155 156 level set at 20 days POS is most likely an accurate extrapolation and allows for comparisons between 157 donors. Supplemental Figure 2A shows the fitted line and actual measurements for four randomly 158 selected donors (donors A to D). Supplemental Figure 2B shows the distribution of the residuals over 159 all observations for all donors.

160 After analysing all samples, we found a median peak IgG concentration of 38.8 AU/mI (IQR 161 20.9-78.6) and a median half-life of 66 days (IQR 50-94) (Figure 1). For the majority of donors, the 162 estimated slope corresponds to a plausible antibody half-life. However, for 80 donors (3.8%), the fitted 163 slope was positive, which results in a negative estimated half-life estimate. For an additional 59 donors 164 (2.8%), the estimated half-life is extremely long (defined here as more than 365 days, but estimates 165 ranged up to 16,000 days). This occurs when the estimated slope is very close to zero (but still 166 negative), which may happen when IgG levels barely decrease between measurements and no decay 167 in antibody levels are measured. Examples of donors with a negative half-life and very long half-life 168 are given in Supplemental Figure 3. These donors were not excluded from the study in order not to 169 overstate accuracy, and because there was no reason to assume the IgG measurements were 170 incorrect.

171

## 172 Associations with predictor variables (step 2)

173 The results of step 2, where individual donor characteristics were added to the model as predictor 174 variables, are shown in Figure 2A-C and Table 2. Sex was associated with the slope (Figure 2A), as the 175 rate of antibody decay is faster in men: the median slope for men corresponds to a half-life of 62 days, 176 while this is 72 days for women. Men displayed higher peak IgG levels than women, but this difference 177 was not statistically significant (p = 0.68). Age (Figure 2B) and BMI (Figure 2C) were both positively 178 correlated with peak IgG concentration. A one-year increase in age corresponds to a 0.013 increase in 179 the log-transformed IgG level, an increase of one BMI point corresponds to a 0.024 increase in log-180 transformed IgG level. No significant associations with antibody titres were found for variables blood 181 group, height, and weight. Random effects for peak IgG level and antibody half-life are positively 182 correlated with a correlation coefficient of 0.29, indicating that higher peak IgG is moderately 183 associated with higher (less negative) slope, and therefore with a longer half-life.

184

#### 185 Associations with clinical information (step 3)

186 After adding clinical information significant associations with peak IgG concentration were found for 187 hospital admission and various clinical symptoms (Figure 2D, Figure 3, Figure 4 and Table 2). Hospital 188 admission was significantly associated with both higher peak IgG level and shorter half-life (Figure 2D). 189 Nasal cold, headache, and anosmia were associated with lower peak IgG levels, while dry cough, 190 fatigue, fever, dyspnoea, diarrhoea, and muscle weakness were associated with higher peak IgG levels. 191 Figure 3 shows the estimated peak IgG level when these symptoms are present. Note that values on 192 the y-axis are the predicted peak IgG levels when all continuous variables are equal to their average 193 value, and all binary variables (hospital admission and all other symptoms) equal zero.

The largest difference was found for the 'hospital admission' variable. Donors admitted to the hospital had considerably higher antibody levels, with an estimated difference of 77.8 AU/ml on the peak IgG concentration. These donors also have a faster rate of antibody decay, corresponding to an estimated half-life of 48 days (95% CI: 40-58 days) for men, and 60 days (95% CI: 49-80 days) for women.

199

#### 200 Variance explained by model

201 In the null-model that was fitted in step 1 (without any fixed effects), all variation in peak IgG 202 and half-life was attributed to the individual variation per donor. As fixed effects were added in step 203 2, part of this variation was now explained by these fixed effects, and the variation explained by the 204 random effects decreased. Table 3 shows the variance of the random effects per donor in the null-205 model, as well the variance of the random effects as after adding donor characteristics as covariates 206 (step 2), and after adding the clinical information (step 3). The variance reduction relative to the null-207 model (step 1) by the addition of extra explanatory variables in each step is also provided. Model fit 208 was compared using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and tested for statistical significance using 209 a nested ANOVA, results of which are shown in Table 3.

## 210 Discussion

211 In this retrospective observational study, we investigated potential associations between SARS-CoV-2 212 specific antibody kinetics and various donor characteristics and COVID-19 symptoms. To our 213 knowledge, this is currently the largest study that describes such associations. Individual antibody 214 responses were modelled using a linear mixed-effects model, from which peak IgG concentration and 215 antibody half-life were determined. Symptoms and donor characteristics were obtained from a 216 questionnaire. Our study shows that the SARS-CoV-2 antibody response is associated with patient 217 characteristics like sex, age, and BMI. Of note, we also found that specific COVID-19 symptoms are 218 associated with antibody levels.

As reported earlier, we found a large variation in anti-RBD antibody peak levels . A strength of our study are the longitudinal measurements, which enabled us to reliably estimate the peak level of each individual donor independent on the timing of the first antibody measurement. Only a quarter of the variation in peak IgG concentration between patients can be explained by associations with donor characteristics and disease symptoms. To a lesser degree, donor characteristics were also associated with differences in antibody half-life, which was also variable between donors, albeit less than the peak level. The antibody half-life was not correlated to peak levels. Whether these differences in antibody half-life reflect differences in protection for reinfection will be investigated,and this thoroughly characterized donor cohort can serve as bench mark for those studies.

228 Six symptoms (dry cough, fatigue, diarrhoea, fever, dyspnoea, muscle weakness) were 229 associated with higher IgG concentrations and three symptoms (headache, anosmia, nasal cold) were 230 associated with lower peak IgG concentrations against RBD. This association between symptoms and 231 antibody levels may possibly reflect the fact that the SARS-CoV-2 virus frequently initiates infection in 232 the upper airways (mild symptoms and low IgG levels) before spreading through the body (severe 233 symptoms and high IgG levels). Headache, anosmia and nasal cold were common symptoms, each 234 present in at least 50% of patients in our population. Fatigue was present in more than 70% of patients 235 and was associated with higher peak IgG concentration, suggesting more severe illness. A previous 236 study in a hospital cohort found that fatigue and dyspnoea are prognostic for severe infection, and a 237 stuffed nose (comparable to nasal cold) for mild infection, which is in line with our findings (20).

238 Furthermore, we found higher age and BMI to be associated with higher peak IgG 239 concentrations. Sex was not associated with peak IgG concentration, but men had significantly shorter 240 antibody half-lives than women (62 vs 72 days respectively). The small group of patients that had been 241 admitted to hospital displayed both higher peak IgG concentrations and shorter half-lives. Probably 242 this effect is the result of the presence of short-lived plasmablasts that produce high levels of 243 antibodies. Previous studies found sex differences in COVID-19 immune responses, with higher IgG 244 concentrations associated with male sex, older age, and hospitalization (21-23). Although our results 245 are consistent with these findings for age and hospitalization, we found that the association between 246 male sex and higher peak IgG concentration was not significant after correction for age and BMI. This 247 suggests that the previously found association with male gender was possibly due to the increased 248 risk of severe disease in men. Most studies on differences in antibody response are performed in 249 hospital cohorts, our study population consisted mainly of recovered patients that were not admitted 250 to hospital (96.7%), and therefore disease severity is expected to be lower. Consistently, BMI in the 251 non-hospitalized group was 25.9 compared to 28.8 in hospitalized patients.

252 A strength of our study is the large number of recovered patients included in our study 253 population. The status of Sanquin as the only blood bank in the Netherlands, combined with well-254 established connections with municipal health services, allowed us to invite people with a positive 255 PCR test to become CCP donors after recovery. This allowed us to both include non-hospitalized and 256 hospitalized patients in the cohort. However, we could only include donors who were healthy enough 257 to regularly donate plasma, which means that our results are mainly applicable towards patients with 258 a mild outcome. As a result our study is more representative of the total COVID-19 patient population 259 than studies on hospitalized patient cohorts. It should also be noted that some bias may be present in 260 our data, as symptoms are self-reported by patients after recovery. Relatively mild symptoms, such as 261 nasal cold, may therefore be underreported by patients who at the same time experienced more 262 severe symptoms, such as fever or dyspnoea. However, this explanation is unlikely to negate the 263 association we found, as all symptoms associated with lower peak IgG were present in more than 50% 264 of patients.

In conclusion, our study indicates that several COVID-19 symptoms are associated with SARS CoV-2 antibody levels in addition to the previously described association with sex, age, and BMI.
 Discovery of these associations aids us in understanding why antibody responses differ between
 patients. The predictive value of IgG concentrations could also be used by blood banks to pre-select
 individuals with high and/or stable antibody levels as potential CCP donors.

## 270 Acknowledgements

271 We would like to thank all the donors who have kindly donated plasma.

# 272 Authorship contributions and conflict of interest

273 EvS, MPJ, TR conceived the study

- 274 AtB, CvH, MPJ, MvL, MV, FS, HV, LvdW, FQ, KvdH, TR, BH and EvS were involved in study design and
- 275 organization
- 276 MV conducted experiments
- 277 MV, MPJ analyzed data
- 278 MV, MS wrote the paper
- 279 MPJ, TR, EvS supervised the study
- All authors provided critical revision of the paper.
- 281 Authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 282 Funding

283

This study was supported by the European Commission (SUPPORT-E, grant number 101015756), by ZonMW (Protective Immunity, grant number 10430 01 201 0012), and Sanquin Blood Supply Foundation, PPOC grant 18-14/L2337.

287 Figure legends

288

Figure 1. Anti-RBD IgG peak and half-life. (A) Distribution of estimated peak IgG concentration (at 20 days POS) and (B) estimated half-life of 2,082 COVID convalescent plasma donors, as estimated by the null model. Please note that since both distributions have an extremely long right tail, the horizontal axes are truncated at (A) 300 AU/ml and (B) 365 days, excluding 70 and 139 donors from left and right histograms, respectively.

Figure 2. Associations between donor/clinical characteristics and antibody levels. The effects of variables (A) sex, (B) age, (C) BMI, and (D) hospital admission on predicted antibody decline. Note that age and BMI are included in the model as continuous predictors; for clarity, the associations are only plotted for three values. Grey bands represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 3. Predicted impact of various symptoms on anti-RBD IgG peak concentration. Estimated peak IgG concentrations when different symptoms are displayed. For each of the symptoms here, the difference in peak IgG as compared to the group without this symptom is statistically significant with p < 0.001.

- Figure 4. Effect size and 95% confidence intervals of fixed effects on anti-RBD IgG peak concentration (log-transformed) and the slope.
- 304
- 305 Supplemental Figure legends
- 306

307 Supplemental Figure 1. Flowchart showing the criteria for inclusion and exclusion of donors.

308 **Supplemental Figure 2. Null model fit (step 1).** (A) Measured anti-RBD IgG levels (points) and fitted 309 line as estimated by the linear model for four randomly selected donors, with (B) distribution of 310 residuals over all observations, for all donors.

Supplemental Figure 3. No decay in antibody levels. Example of a donor with increasing IgG levels
 (left panel) and one with near-constant IgG levels (right panel). Estimated slopes for these donors are
 0.0024 and -0.0151, corresponding to estimated half-lives of -292 and 1843 days, respectively.

# 315 References

Post N, Eddy D, Huntley C, van Schalkwyk MCI, Shrotri M, Leeman D, et al. Antibody 316 1. 317 response to SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans: a systematic review. Plos One. 2020;15(12). 318 2. Ng DL, Goldgof GM, Shy BR, Levine AG, Balcerek J, Bapat SP, et al. SARS-CoV-2 319 seroprevalence and neutralizing activity in donor and patient blood. Nature communications. 320 2020;11(1):4698. Choe PG, Kim KH, Kang CK, Suh HJ, Kang E, Lee SY, et al. Antibody Responses One Year after 321 3. 322 Mild SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Journal of Korean medical science. 2021;36(21):e157. 323 Haveri A, Ekström N, Solastie A, Virta C, Österlund P, Isosaari E, et al. Persistence of 4. 324 neutralizing antibodies a year after SARS-CoV-2 infection. European Journal of Immunology. 325 2021;51(12):3202-13. 326 Steenhuis M, van Mierlo G, Derksen NI, Ooijevaar-de Heer P, Kruithof S, Loeff FL, et al. 5. 327 Dynamics of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent plasma donors. Clinical & translational 328 immunology. 2021;10(5):e1285. 329 Vogelzang EH, Loeff FC, Derksen NIL, Kruithof S, Ooijevaar-de Heer P, van Mierlo G, et al. 6. 330 Development of a SARS-CoV-2 Total Antibody Assay and the Dynamics of Antibody Response over 331 Time in Hospitalized and Nonhospitalized Patients with COVID-19. J Immunol. 2020. 332 7. Klein SL, Pekosz A, Park HS, Ursin RL, Shapiro JR, Benner SE, et al. Sex, age, and 333 hospitalization drive antibody responses in a COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor population. The 334 Journal of clinical investigation. 2020;130(11):6141-50. Hamer M, Gale CR, Kivimäki M, Batty GD. Overweight, obesity, and risk of hospitalization for 335 8. 336 COVID-19: A community-based cohort study of adults in the United Kingdom. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 337 A. 2020;117(35):21011-3. 338 Biswas M, Rahaman S, Biswas TK, Haque Z, Ibrahim B. Association of Sex, Age, and 9. 339 Comorbidities with Mortality in COVID-19 Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. 340 Intervirology. 2021;64(1):36-47. 341 Hamer M, Gale CR, Kivimäki M, Batty GD. Overweight, obesity, and risk of hospitalization for 10. COVID-19: A community-based cohort study of adults in the United Kingdom. Proceedings of the 342 343 National Academy of Sciences. 2020;117(35):21011-3. 344 Hendren NS, de Lemos JA, Ayers C, Das SR, Rao A, Carter S, et al. Association of Body Mass 11. 345 Index and Age With Morbidity and Mortality in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19: Results From 346 the American Heart Association COVID-19 Cardiovascular Disease Registry. Circulation. 347 2021;143(2):135-44. 348 Plourde G, Fournier-Ross E, Tessier-Grenier H, Mullie L-A, Chassé M, Carrier FM. Association 12. 349 between obesity and hospital mortality in critical COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. 350 International Journal of Obesity. 2021. 351 Anderson JL, May HT, Knight S, Bair TL, Muhlestein JB, Knowlton KU, et al. Association of 13. 352 Sociodemographic Factors and Blood Group Type With Risk of COVID-19 in a US Population. JAMA 353 Network Open. 2021;4(4):e217429-e. 354 Miotto M, Di Rienzo L, Gosti G, Milanetti E, Ruocco G. Does blood type affect the COVID-19 14. 355 infection pattern? PLOS ONE. 2021;16(5):e0251535. 356 15. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, Liu W, Liao X, Su Y, et al. Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 in 357 Patients With Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(16):2027-34. 358 16. Amjadi MF, O'Connell SE, Armbrust T, Mergaert AM, Narpala SR, Halfmann PJ, et al. Specific 359 COVID-19 Symptoms Correlate with High Antibody Levels against SARS-CoV-2. ImmunoHorizons. 2021;5(6):466-76. 360 361 17. https://lci.rivm.nl/richtlijnen/covid-19 [ Yamayoshi S, Yasuhara A, Ito M, Akasaka O, Nakamura M, Nakachi I, et al. Antibody titers 362 18. 363 against SARS-CoV-2 decline, but do not disappear for several months. EClinicalMedicine. 364 2021;32:100734.

- Luke SG. Evaluating significance in linear mixed-effects models in R. Behavior research
   methods. 2017;49(4):1494-502.
- Li J, Chen Z, Nie Y, Ma Y, Guo Q, Dai X. Identification of Symptoms Prognostic of COVID-19
  Severity: Multivariate Data Analysis of a Case Series in Henan Province. Journal of medical Internet
  research. 2020;22(6):e19636.
- 370 21. Gebhard C, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Neuhauser HK, Morgan R, Klein SL. Impact of sex and gender
  371 on COVID-19 outcomes in Europe. Biology of sex differences. 2020;11(1):29.
- 22. Meng Y, Wu P, Lu W, Liu K, Ma K, Huang L, et al. Sex-specific clinical characteristics and
- prognosis of coronavirus disease-19 infection in Wuhan, China: A retrospective study of 168 severe
  patients. PLoS pathogens. 2020;16(4):e1008520.
- Robbiani DF, Gaebler C, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Wang Z, Cho A, et al. Convergent antibody
  responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. Nature. 2020;584(7821):437-42.
- 377

Table 1. Study population characteristics. Continuous variables are represented by their median and
 interquartile range (IQR), categorical variables by absolute count and percentage.

Predictor variable	Female		Male	
	Median value	IQR or	Median value	IQR or
	or count	percentage	or count	percentage
Number of donors (proportion	1236	59.4%	846	40.6%
of total)				
Number of donations per donor	6	4 – 8	6	4 - 10
Days POS at first donation	48	33 – 77	47	32 – 77
Days POS at last donation*	122	97 - 151	126	103 - 157
Age (years)	45.9	28.0 – 55.3	51.8	39.6 – 59.3
Height (cm)	171	167 – 176	184	180 - 189
Weight (kg)	73	65 – 83	88	80 – 97
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.8	22.6 – 28.4	26.4	24.0 - 28.2
Blood group ABO				
- A	581	47%	381	45%
- B	120	9.7%	84	9.9%
- 0	484	39%	352	42%
- AB	51	4.1%	29	3.4%
Blood group RhD				
- Positive	1024	83%	691	82%
- Negative	212	17%	155	18%
Hospital admission	19	1.5%	50	5.9%
Intensive care	4	0.3%	8	0.9%
Symptoms				
Asymptomatic	8	0.6%	7	0.8%
Fatigue	979	79%	597	71%
Anosmia/ageusia	853	69%	471	56%
Headache	820	66%	467	55%
Myalgia	705	57%	445	53%
Nasal cold	692	56%	424	50%
Fever	621	50%	507	60%
Dry cough	560	45%	396	47%
Sore throat	519	42%	307	36%
Chills	499	40%	356	42%
Sneezing	461	37%	381	45%
Dyspnoea	461	37%	297	35%
Muscle weakness	426	34%	260	31%
Diarrhoea	221	18%	102	12%
Nausea	184	15%	72	8.5%
Sputum production	178	14%	152	18%
Altered mental status	127	10%	80	9.5%
Skin rash	69	5.6%	27	3.2%
Vomiting	49	4.0%	28	3.3%

<sup>380</sup> 

\* The maximum value is 182, as only donations within six months POS are included.

#### Table 2: Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals of fixed effects on log-transformed IgG levels.

Estimates of average intercept (log peak IgG) and slope					
Term	Estimate	95% CI			
Intercept (log peak IgG)	2.382	2.274 – 2.490			
Slope (∆log(IgG) per day)	-0.010	-0.0110.010			
Fixed effects on the intercept	Estimate	95% CI			
*Sex: female	-0.017	-0.063 – 0.096			
Age (per 10 years increase)	0.128	0.100 - 0.157			
BMI (per 5 points increase)	0.119	0.075 – 0.164			
Hospital admission: yes	1.156	0.934 – 1.379			
Headache: yes	-0.113	-0.193 – -0.032			
Anosmia: yes	-0.111	-0.189 – -0.033			
Nasal cold: yes	-0.101	-0.177 – -0.025			
Dry cough: yes	0.095	0.019 - 0.171			
Fatigue: yes	0.140	0.044 - 0.236			
Diarrhoea: yes	0.148	0.043 - 0.252			
Muscle weakness: yes	0.172	0.083 - 0.261			
Shortness of breath: yes	0.196	0.111 - 0.280			
Fever: yes	0.228	0.149 - 0.308			
Fixed effects on the slope	Estimate	95% CI			
Sex: female	0.003	0.002 - 0.004			
Hospital admission: yes	-0.004	-0.0070.001			

385 \*The effect of sex on the intercept (peak IgG) was not statistically significant, but the variable is not excluded from the model

due to its effect on the slope.

Table 3. Variance of random effects in models of all three steps. Percentual variance decrease
 relative to the null-model is given in brackets.

Model	Variance of random effect on peak log(IgG) (reduction relative to the null-model)	Variance of random effect on slope Δlog(IgG)/day (reduction relative to the null-model)	AIC (ANOVA p- value relative to previous step)
Step 1: null-model	0.8814	0.0497	11886
Step 2: donor characteristics	0.7758 (-12%)	0.0485 (-2.4%)	11615 (p < 0.001)
Step 3: donor characteristics +	0.6610 (-25%)	0.0481 (-3.2%)	11290 (p < 0.001)
clinical information			